

Serial No. 10/672,832

REMARKS

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rydel, and claims 4-6 and 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rydel (Fig. 1). However, the Examiner indicated that claims 2, 3, 7-12 and 16-20 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Therefore, the applicant rewrites claims 2 and 3 to include the limitations of the claim 1. Therefore, it is now believed that the subject Patent Application has been placed in condition for allowance, and such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Jih-Shin Ho



Dated: March 16, 2005.

Alan D. Kamrath, Reg. No. 28,227
NIKOLAI & MERSEREAU, P.A.
Attorneys for Applicant
900 Second Avenue South
Suite 820 International Centre
Minneapolis, MN 55402
Tel: (612) 392-7306
Fax: (612) 349-6556